Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| All |  |  |  | See other file submitted to docket which shows detailed recommended changes to the draft MUTCD text. |
| 8C.01 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8C.01 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8C.02 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8C.02 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 8C-1 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 8C-1 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 8C-2 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 8C-2 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8C.03 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8C.03 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8C.04 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8C.04 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8C.05 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends changes to Standard, Guidance, and Option statements in 8C.05 to replace “lane lines” with “center lines” because the extension of center lines through grade crossings is more appropriate than extending lane lines to prevent drivers from mistakenly turning onto the tracks. NCUTCD also recommends changes to add a Guidance statement because lines or markers through the grade crossing may be disturbed by maintenance activities and the adjustment of the lines and markers should be coordinated between the road authority and the railroad or transit agency. NCUTCD also recommends changes to the Guidance statement and the addition of an Option statement about tubular markers to delete the 6-foot clearance dimension because this is consistent with Section 8D.01 and because railroads and transit agencies have varied clearance requirements, and to allow shorter tubular markers where they are installed closer to the rails. |
| 8C.06 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends changes to Guidance statements in 8C.06 to delete the 6-foot clearance requirement because this is consistent with Section 8D.01 and because railroads and transit agencies have varied clearance requirements. |
| Figure 8C-3 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 8C-3 as presented in the NPA, but recommends adding a note consistent with the recommended text changes in Section 8C.05 because the markings on the crossing surface may be disturbed by maintenance activities and the adjustment of the markings should be coordinated between the road authority and the railroad or transit agency. |
| Figure 8C-4 | N/A | N/A | YES | NCUTCD recommends deleting Figure 8C-4 because the markings to discourage drivers from stopping on the grade crossing are more clearly shown in Figure 8C-3 and described in Section 3B.26. |
| Figure 8C-5. | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 8C-5 as presented in the NPA. |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
| (**EXAMPLE**)  FHWA-2020-0001-59 | YES | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |